Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		
	10/621,119	QUAID ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ELMER CHAO	3737
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>ELMER CHAO</u> .	(3)	
(2) Thomas E. Kocovsky, Jr.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6/4/2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
,		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:		
rejection(s) discussed.		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Elmer Chao/ Examiner, Art Unit 3737	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed claim amendments to independent claims so that they would recite the concept of the user holding and manually manipulating the tool while being tracked. Examiner also proposed changing the word "tangible" to "non-transitory" for claims 66, 67, 70, 71, 72, and 74..